**Confirmation Check sheet for a New Work Item Proposal by Proposer**

**Nov 29, 2018**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Title of the project** | **Project leader’s Name** | **Country** | **Contact details** |
|  |  |  |  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 1 | **Details of Work Item** | |
| 1.1 | Working Draft | √Attached □ Not attached |
| 1.2 | Type of ISO deliverable intended | √IS □TS □PAS □TR |
| 1.3 | Performance based and not design prescriptive | □Yes □No |
| 1.4 | Necessary resources are available | √Yes □No |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Check item** | | **Proposer’s confirmation based on available supporting evidence** | **Validated by your mirror committee before submitting**  **to the TC249 secretariat** |
| **2** | **Global relevance of the Work Item** | |  |
| 2-1 | Effectively responds to market needs in the global marketplace? | √Yes □No | √Yes |
| 2-2 | Responds to scientific and technical developments in various  countries? | √Yes □No | √Yes |
| 2-3 | Distorts the market? | √ Does not distort the market | √Agreed |
| 2-4 | Have adverse effects on fair competition? | √ Does not have adverse effects | √Agreed |
| 2-5 | Stifles innovation and technological development? | √ Does not stifle | √Agreed |
| 2-6 | Gives preference to characteristics or requirement of specific countries or regions when different needs or interests exist in other countries or regions? | √ Does not give preference | √Agreed |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **3** | **Justification** | |  |
| 3-1 | Scope of Work item is within the scope of TC 249? | √Yes □No | √Yes |
| 3-2 | Scope of Work Item is within the scope of the relevant WG? | √Yes □No | √Yes |
| 3-3 | Statement of purpose is precise and clear? | √Yes □No | √Yes |
| 3-4 | Relevant affected stakeholder categories are clearly identified? | √Yes □No | √Yes |
| 3-5 | Enough information and documentation for the NMB’s  Justification Survey? | √Yes □No | √Yes |
| 3-6 | Benefits to be gained |  | √Yes |
|  | a) Technological benefits e.g. manufacturing | √High □Medium □Low |  |
|  | b) Economic benefits e.g. harmonization, trade | √High □Medium □Low |  |
|  | c) Social benefits e.g. health and safety (managing risks) | √High □Medium □Low |  |
|  | d) Environmental benefits | √High □Medium □Low |  |
| 3-7 | Product or service used in 5 and more countries | √extensive use in more than 5 countries  □limited use in more than 5 countries  □use in less than 5 countries | √Yes |
| **4** | **Self-evaluation** | |  |
| 4-1 | Feasibility for achieving consensus on the ISO deliverable | √High □Medium □Low | √Yes |
| 4-2 | Recommended priority for the development of the ISO  deliverable | √High □Medium □Low | √Yes |
|  |  |  |  |
| **TC Secretary’s comment and/or recommendations** | |  | |